

University of Groningen

Synthesis and electronic properties of donor-linked fullerenes towards photochemical molecular devices

Nierengarten, J.F.; Eckert, J.F.; Felder, D.; Nicoud, J.F.; Armaroli, N.; Marconi, G.; Vicinelli, V.; Boudon, C.; Gisselbrecht, J.P.; Gross, M.

Published in:
Carbon

DOI:
[10.1016/s0008-6223\(99\)00290-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6223(99)00290-0)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2000

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Nierengarten, J. F., Eckert, J. F., Felder, D., Nicoud, J. F., Armaroli, N., Marconi, G., Vicinelli, V., Boudon, C., Gisselbrecht, J. P., Gross, M., Hadzioannou, G., Krasnikov, V. V., Ouali, L., Echegoyen, L., Liu, S. G., & Krasnikov, N. V. (2000). Synthesis and electronic properties of donor-linked fullerenes towards photochemical molecular devices. *Carbon*, 38(11-12), 1587 - 1598. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6223\(99\)00290-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6223(99)00290-0)

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.



Synthesis and electronic properties of donor-linked fullerenes towards photochemical molecular devices

Jean-François Nierengarten^{a,*}, Jean-François Eckert^a, Delphine Felder^a,
Jean-François Nicoud^a, Nicola Armaroli^b, Giancarlo Marconi^b, Veronica Vicinelli^b,
Corinne Boudon^c, Jean-Paul Gisselbrecht^c, Maurice Gross^c,
Georges Hadziioannou^d, Victor Krasnikov^d, Lahoussine Ouali^d, Luis Echegoyen^e,
Sheng-Gao Liu^e

^a*Institut de Physique et Chimie des Matériaux de Strasbourg, Groupe des Matériaux Organiques, Université Louis Pasteur and CNRS, 23 rue du Loess, 67037 Strasbourg, France*

^b*Istituto di Fotochimica e Radiazioni d'Alta Energia del CNR, via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy*

^c*Laboratoire d'Electrochimie et de Chimie Physique du Corps Solide, Université Louis Pasteur and CNRS, 4 rue Blaise Pascal, 67008 Strasbourg, France*

^d*Department of Polymer Chemistry and Materials Science Centre, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*

^e*Department of Chemistry, University of Miami, Coral Gables, FL 33214, USA*

Abstract

We report our recent progress in the synthesis and the study of donor-linked fullerenes. The synthesis of C₆₀ polyadducts with well defined three-dimensional structure provides versatile building blocks for the preparation of porphyrin–fullerene derivatives with unusual stereochemical and electronic properties. Phenanthroline ligands substituted with fullerene-functionalized dendritic wedges and their copper(I) complexes have been prepared. Electrochemical and photophysical investigations have shown that the bis(phenanthroline)copper(I) central core is somehow buried in a dendritic black box. Finally, fullerene–oligophenylenevinylene hybrids have been synthesised in order to provide a molecular approach to photovoltaic conversion, and to prevent the morphology problems resulting from the phase separation in donor–acceptor composites. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: A. Fullerene; C. Spectrophotometry; D. Electronic properties, Electrochemical properties, Optical properties

1. Introduction

In light of their unusual physical and chemical properties, fullerenes and their derivatives appear to be attractive candidates for the construction of supramolecular assemblies and advanced materials [1–3]. The recent progress in the chemistry of C₆₀ [4] allows the preparation of many fullerene derivatives covalently bound to donor moieties [5,6]. These systems provide entry into intramolecular processes such as energy and electron transfer

[5–44]. It should be pointed out that the C₆₀ group appears to be a particularly interesting electron acceptor in photochemical molecular devices because of its symmetrical shape, its large size and the properties of its π -electron system. The characteristics of C₆₀ are in stark contrast with those of common acceptors with smaller size such as benzoquinone. Actually, accelerated charge separation and decelerated charge recombination has been observed in a fullerene-based acceptor–donor system when compared to the equivalent benzoquinone-based system [26]. This has been interpreted simply by the smaller reorganization energy (λ) of C₆₀ compared with those of small acceptors: the smaller reorganization energy of C₆₀ positions the photoinduced charge separation rate upward along the normal region of the Marcus parabolic curve, while forcing

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +33-3-8810-7163; fax: +33-3-8810-7246.

E-mail address: niereng@michelangelo.u-strasbg.fr (J.-F. Nierengarten).

the charge recombination rate downward in the inverted region. The efficient photogeneration of long lived charge-separated states by photoinduced electron transfer is of particular interest for initiating photocatalytical reactions (artificial photosynthesis) or for solar energy conversion (photovoltaic cells). Here, we report our recent progress in the synthesis and the studies of donor-linked fullerenes. We also show that such compounds can be used for a molecular approach to photovoltaic cells. In this case, the fullerene-donor derivative is not only able to generate electrons and holes under light irradiation, but it also provides pathways for their subsequent collection at opposite electrodes, and a photocurrent is obtained.

2. Fullerene-substituted porphyrins

Since the first reported preparation of a C₆₀-linked porphyrin by Gust et al. [23], several other fullerene-porphyrin hybrids have been described [24–44] and intramolecular processes such as electron and energy transfer have been observed in some of these compounds [23–44]. All the reported porphyrin–C₆₀ dyads have been usually synthesized by reaction of a preconstructed porphyrin derivative with C₆₀ itself or a C₆₀-acid derivative [23–44]. We report herein the preparation and the electrochemical properties of the soluble porphyrins **1** and **2** substituted with two and four fullerene subunits, respectively. Compounds **1** and **2** were prepared by reaction of C₆₀-benzaldehyde **3** with dipyrrol-2-yl methane **4** [45] and pyrrole, respectively, in the presence of an acid catalyst (Fig. 1). The C₆₀-benzaldehyde **3** was synthesized as depicted in Fig. 2.

N,N'-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC)-mediated esterification of diol **5** with the malonic mono-ester **6** [46] yielded bismalonate **7**. The functionalization of C₆₀ was based on the highly regioselective Diederich reaction [47–49] which yielded macrocyclic bisadducts of C₆₀ resulting from a macrocyclization reaction of the carbon sphere with bismalonate derivatives in a double Bingel addition [50]. Treatment of C₆₀ with **7**, iodine and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in toluene at room temperature afforded the C_s-symmetrical *cis*-2 bisadduct **8** in 48% yield. Model compound **9** was prepared in a similar manner starting from 1,3-benzenedimethanol (**10**): DCC esterification with **6** followed by reaction of the resulting bismalonate **11** with C₆₀ in toluene in the presence of DBU and iodine gave **9** in an overall 25% yield. Treatment of **7** with CF₃CO₂H in CH₂Cl₂/H₂O 1:1 afforded benzaldehyde **3**. It was obtained in 93% yield as an orange-red glassy product and its preparation was easily carried out on a gram scale. The condensation of **3** and **4** was performed in CHCl₃ at room temperature in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O (Fig. 1A). After 12 h, *p*-chloranil (tetrachlorobenzoquinone) was added to irreversibly convert the porphyrinogen to the porphyrin. The desired porphyrin **1** was isolated in

38% yield. Preparation of porphyrin **2** was first attempted under the classical conditions reported by Lindsey and co-workers [51,52]. Reaction of benzaldehyde **3** with pyrrole in CH₂Cl₂ with CF₃CO₂H as catalyst followed by *p*-chloranil oxidation yielded unreacted **3** and polymers, and no trace of porphyrin **2** could be detected. However, **2** could be obtained by using the reaction conditions developed by Lindsey for the synthesis of sterically hindered porphyrins such as tetramesitylporphyrin [53,54]. A key feature of these conditions involves BF₃-ethanol cocatalysis. The condensation of **3** and pyrrole was performed in CHCl₃ (commercial CHCl₃ containing 0.75% ethanol as stabilizer) at room temperature in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O. After 5 h, *p*-chloranil was added to irreversibly convert the porphyrinogen to the porphyrin. The desired tetraphenylporphyrin **2** was subsequently isolated in 12% yield by tedious chromatographic separations. All of the spectroscopic studies and elemental analysis results were consistent with the proposed molecular structures. The ¹H-NMR spectra of **1** depicted at room temperature the presence of two conformers in a 1:1 ratio. Molecular modeling studies on compound **1** revealed that each fullerene group is located atop its bridging phenyl ring. Therefore due to the high barrier to rotation of the phenyl substituents on the porphyrin, two conformers are possible for **1**. Actually, the two carbon spheres in **1** could be in a *cis* or in a *trans* relative position (Fig. 3). Whereas the two porphyrin H-meso are equivalent in the *trans* conformer, they are non-equivalent in the *cis* one. As expected, three singlets in a 1:2:1 ratio are observed at δ 10.20, 10.23, and 10.27 ppm, respectively, for the H-meso in the 1:1 mixture of conformers. Furthermore, the four methyl groups on the porphyrin are equivalent two to two in both conformers and the expected four singlets are clearly observed (δ 2.60, 2.63, 2.64, and 2.67 ppm) in the ¹H-NMR spectrum. A variable-temperature NMR study showed a clear coalescence at 125°C. By monitoring the coalescence of the porphyrin H-meso, the free energy barrier for the conformational equilibrium was calculated as Δ*G*[‡] = 85 kJ/mol. A sharp symmetric spectrum could not be obtained below the limit of heating, however the observed perfectly reversible narrowing of all the peaks unambiguously shows that a dynamic effect does occur. This *cis/trans* isomerism can be related to the diastereomerism observed for some terphenyl systems [55,56]. The characterization of **2** was also complicated since it appeared as a mixture of several conformers in slow equilibrium on the NMR time scale at room temperature, however all expected sets of signals are clearly observed. In tetraphenylporphyrin **2**, due to the fact that each fullerene group is located to one side of the plane of its bridging phenyl ring, free rotation of the four phenyl substituents on the porphyrin is required for the obtention of a sharp symmetric NMR spectrum. A variable-temperature study (CDCl₂CDCl₂, 400 MHz) showed a perfectly reversible narrowing of all the peaks at 125°C, however a sharp spectrum could not be obtained below the limit of





Fig. 1. (A) Preparation of porphyrin **1**. (B) Preparation of porphyrin **2**.

heating. Nevertheless, this NMR study unambiguously showed that a dynamic effect occurs.

The electrochemical investigations on porphyrins **1** and **2** were carried out by cyclic voltammetry (CV) in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 + 0.1 \text{ M Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$ on a glassy C-electrode and the results are summarized in Table 1. The following porphyrins lacking the fullerene moieties were used for comparison purposes: 5,15-bis(3,5-di-*tert*-butylphenyl)-3,7,13,17-tetraethyl-2,8,12,18-tetramethylporphyrin (**12**) [57] and *meso*-tetrakis(3,5-di-*tert*-butylphenyl)porphyrin (**13**) [58].

The redox potential of the first oxidation of the porphyrin moiety in **1** and **2** appears to be significantly more anodic ($\Delta E \approx 100$ and 175 mV, respectively) than for the corresponding model porphyrins **12** and **13**, respectively. This observation could be related to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the fullerene substituents, which could substantially destabilize the first oxidated state of the porphyrin moiety. However, solvation effects resulting from the presence of the surrounding fullerene groups could also be at the origin of the observed potential shift. For the reductions, **1**, **2** and model compound **9** showed a

Fig. 2. Preparation of C_{60} -benzaldehyde **3**.

similar behavior totally consistent with previously reported data for fullerene *cis*-2 bis-adducts [47–49]. For both **1** and **2**, all the fullerene subunits behave as independent redox centers. For compound **1**, the reduction potentials are shifted to more negative values ($\Delta E \approx 40$ mV for the first reduction and 80 mV for the second one) when compared to fullerene derivative **9**. Those observations as well as the observed shift for the first oxidation of the porphyrin moiety in **1** seems to indicate that there are some interactions between the porphyrin moiety and the fullerene substituents in **1**. In contrast, although the C_{60} substituents apparently influenced significantly the redox properties of the porphyrin moiety in **2**, those of the fullerene subunits seem to remain unchanged by the porphyrin in **2**. The influence of the porphyrin on the reduction potentials of the two fullerene substituents observed for compound **1** could not be seen for **2** since it is

somehow diluted by the increased number of surrounding fullerene subunits.

Preliminary luminescence measurements indicate that the porphyrin emission in **1** and **2** is totally quenched at room temperature by the surrounding fullerene subunits. The shift observed by CV for the redox properties as well as the absence of emission seem to indicate some electronic interactions among the different components in the ground state as well as in the excited states for both **1** and **2**.

3. Fullerene-functionalized dendrimers

In light of their unique structures and properties, dendrimers have attracted increasing attention in the past decade [59] and the use of dendrimer building blocks for the self-assembly of larger nano- and mesoscopic supramolecular structures appears as an emerging area with unlimited possibilities for fundamental new discoveries and practical applications [59,60]. Dendrimers containing various electro- and photoactive chromophores have been prepared in order to explore influences of the microenvironment inside the macromolecule on the properties of the functional core [61,62]. On the other hand, because a dendrimer surface may contain multiple copies of a given functional group, it can be used for example as a platform for amplification of substrate binding [63,64] or as an antenna for light harvesting [65,66]. We report herein the preparation of new dendrimers with a bis(1,10-phenanthroline)copper(I) core and fullerene π chromophores at the periphery. We also report here the electrochemical and

Table 1

Redox characteristics of **1**, **2**, **9**, **12** and **13** observed by CV on a glassy C electrode in $CH_2Cl_2 + 0.1$ M Bu_4NPF_6 ^a

Compound	Reduction		Oxidation
	E_1	E_2	E_1
1	−1.07	−1.45 ^b	+0.43
2	−1.03	−1.36 ^b	+0.695
9	−1.03	−1.37 ^b	%
12	−1.85	−2.30 ^b	+0.33
13	−1.66	−2.00	+0.52

^a Values for $(E_{pa} + E_{pc})/2$ [V vs. Fc/Fc^+].

^b Peak potential values at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{-1} , irreversible process.




Fig. 3. Molecular models of the two conformers of compound **1** (the four dodecyloxyphenyl groups have been omitted for clarity).

photophysical behavior of these dendrimers and show how the surrounding fullerene-functionalized dendritic branches are able to isolate the central Cu(I) complex.

The fullerene-functionalized dendritic branches $G_n\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ were prepared as depicted in Fig. 4. Reaction of $G1\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ [67,68] with diol **14** under esterification conditions using DCC, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (BtOH) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) led to the *t*-butyl-protected dendron $G2\text{CO}_2t\text{-Bu}$ of second generation in 90% yield. Subsequent treatment with $\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ in CH_2Cl_2 afforded $G2\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ in high yield (99%).

Esterification of $G2\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ with diol **14** (DCC/DMAP/BtOH) gave $G3\text{CO}_2t\text{-Bu}$ in 84% yield and subsequent hydrolysis of the *t*-butyl ester group under acidic conditions afforded the third generation carboxylic acid $G3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$. Diol **15** was prepared according to the literature procedure [69] and allowed to react with the dendrons $G1\text{--}3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$ under DCC-mediated esterification conditions

to give the corresponding ligands **L1–3** (Fig. 5). The copper(I) complexes $(\text{L1–3})_2\text{Cu}$ were obtained by treatment of the corresponding ligands **L1–3** (1 equiv.) with $\text{Cu}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_4\text{BF}_4$ (0.6 equiv.). $(\text{L1–3})_2\text{Cu}$ were thus formed in good yields; however, due to difficulties encountered during their purification, the isolated yields were low (56% for $(\text{L1})_2\text{Cu}$, 35% for $(\text{L2})_2\text{Cu}$ and 33% for $(\text{L3})_2\text{Cu}$). Partial decomposition of the complexes was observed on Al_2O_3 (also on SiO_2); effectively, a part of the product stuck all along the column (this could be easily observed because of the compound's dark red color) and could not be eluted any more. Model compound $(\text{L0})_2\text{Cu}$ was prepared in a similar manner from diol **15** (Fig. 5).

Whereas the coordination of the ligands **L1–3** to the copper(I) cation could not be easily observed by apparition of the metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) band characteristic of bis(2,9-dialkyl-1,10-phenanthroline)-copper(I) derivatives at ca. 456 nm [70,71] since it is





Fig. 4. Preparation of dendrons with peripheral fullerene units.

masked by the fullerene absorption in this region, the ^1H -NMR spectra of $(\text{L1-3})_2\text{Cu}$ provide good evidence for their formation. Effectively, the methylene group directly attached to the phenanthroline core observed at ca. 3.2 ppm in the ligands L1–3 is shifted to ca. 2.6 in the corresponding complexes $(\text{L1-3})_2\text{Cu}$. This particular behavior is highly specific for such copper(I) complexes [72] and is the result of the ring current effect of one phenanthroline subunit on the 2,9-substituents of the second one in the complex. Furthermore, the FAB-MS confirmed the structure of $(\text{L1})_2\text{Cu}$ with signals at $m/z = 7872.7$ and 3967.6 corresponding to $[\text{M}-\text{BF}_4^-]^+$ (calc. for $\text{C}_{532}\text{H}_{400}\text{O}_{60}\text{N}_4\text{Cu}$: 7872.6) and $[\text{M}-\text{L1}-\text{BF}_4^-]^+$ (calc. for $\text{C}_{266}\text{H}_{200}\text{O}_{30}\text{N}_2\text{Cu}$: 3968.1), respectively. In the FAB-MS spectra of $(\text{L2})_2\text{Cu}$, only the peak corresponding to $[\text{M}-\text{L2}-\text{BF}_4^-]^+$ could be observed at $m/z = 7908.1$ (calc. for $\text{C}_{530}\text{H}_{392}\text{O}_{66}\text{N}_2\text{Cu}$: 7908.5). It should be pointed out that no peaks corresponding to defected dendrons were observed in the FAB-mass spectra of $(\text{L1-2})_2\text{Cu}$, thus providing clear evidence for their monodispersity. In the FAB-MS of $(\text{L3})_2\text{Cu}$, no characteristic peak could be observed. Due to the presence of the 64 surrounding long alkyl chains, $(\text{L3})_2\text{Cu}$ aggregates strongly and high energy is required for dissociation during FAB-MS analysis, therefore fragmentation occurs, especially on the fragile

benzylic ester functions; furthermore its molecular mass is quite high (31 601.8). Nevertheless, the NMR and UV/Vis data obtained for $(\text{L3})_2\text{Cu}$ and comparison with $(\text{L1-2})_2\text{Cu}$ provide very good evidence for the proposed structure.

The electrochemical investigations on GnCO_2tBu and $(\text{Ln})_2\text{Cu}$ were carried out by CV in $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 + 0.1 \text{ M Bu}_4\text{NPF}_6$ on a glassy C-electrode and the results are summarized in Table 2.

Compound $\text{G1CO}_2t\text{Bu}$ shows the characteristic behavior previously reported for fullerene *cis*-2 bis-adducts [47–49]. Whereas the first reduction, which occurs at -1.07 V vs. Fc/Fc^+ , is reversible, the second reduction observed at ca. -1.4 V is irreversible. It has actually been shown that the second electron transfer in *cis*-2 derivatives is followed by a chemical reaction [47–49]. For the reductions, similar results were obtained with the dendrimers of higher generations $\text{G2-3CO}_2t\text{Bu}$ and $(\text{L1-3})_2\text{Cu}$. Thus suggesting that all the peripheral fullerene subunits behave as independent redox centers in all compounds. The $\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}/\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}$ oxidation potential in $(\text{L1})_2\text{Cu}$ remains unchanged when compared to model compound $(\text{L0})_2\text{Cu}$ showing also that there is no particular intramolecular electronic communication between the central copper(I) complex and the four surrounding fullerene units in $(\text{L1})_2\text{Cu}$. The amplitude of

Fig. 5. Preparation of the copper(I) complexes (L0–3)₂Cu.

the fullerene-centered reduction is expected to be four times larger than that of the Cu-centered oxidation. Interestingly, however, the amplitude of the oxidation peak in (L1)₂Cu is smaller than expected. In addition, the metal-centered oxidation became irreversible, thus indicating decrease of the electron transfer kinetic as previously

Table 2

Redox characteristics of G1–3CO₂tBu and (L0–3)₂Cu observed by CV on a glassy C electrode in CH₂Cl₂ + 0.1 M Bu₄NPF₆^a

Compound	Reduction		Oxidation
	<i>E</i> ₁	<i>E</i> ₂	<i>E</i> ₁
G1CO ₂ tBu	−1.07	−1.45 ^b	+1.2 ^b
G2CO ₂ tBu	−1.07	−1.45 ^b	+1.1 ^b
G3CO ₂ tBu	−1.08	−1.45 ^b	+1.1 ^b
(L0) ₂ Cu	−2.20 ^{b,c}		+0.60
(L1) ₂ Cu	−1.08	−1.39 ^b	+0.60 ^d
(L2) ₂ Cu	−1.08	−1.43 ^b	Not observed
(L3) ₂ Cu	−1.07	−1.40 ^b	Not observed

^a Values for (*E*_{pa} + *E*_{pc})/2 [V vs. Fc/Fc⁺] at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{−1}.

^b Peak potential values at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{−1}, irreversible process.

^c Reversible for *v* > 0.5 V s^{−1}.

^d Poor resolved signal of small amplitude.

observed for other electroactive cores in dendrimers [60,61]. This suggests that the bulky fullerene subunits around the Cu center partially prevent its approach on the electrode surface and, as a result, the oxidation at the central core could not be completed in the time scale of the CV measurement. Consistent with this, the electrochemical oxidation of the Cu site could no longer be observed in dendrimers of the highest generations (L2)₂Cu and (L3)₂Cu. The central electroactive site appears to be totally inaccessible since it is isolated by the bulkier surrounding dendrimer structures. This observation is in full agreement with the molecular modeling studies which show that the interior of the dendrimer in (L2)₂Cu and (L3)₂Cu is virtually inaccessible to external contacts.

The absorption spectra of all the dendrimers (L1)₂Cu, (L2)₂Cu, and (L3)₂Cu in CH₂Cl₂ coincide with the sum of the corresponding component units, showing no appreciable ground state electronic interactions among them. The increasing number of fullerene units around the Cu(I)-complexed core implies a more and more extended shielding of the central core from the incident light. Upon selective excitation of the peripheral C₆₀ units at 600 nm, fullerene fluorescence is observed for all dendrimers (L1–3)₂Cu; the corresponding emission quantum yield and excited state lifetimes are identical, within the experimental error, to those of the corresponding dendritic subunits

GnCO₂*t*-Bu. Despite the fact that selective excitation of the [Cu(phen)₂]⁺-type dendrimer central core is not possible, clear evidence of the luminescence quenching of such a moiety can be obtained. For instance by exciting (L1)₂Cu at 456 nm, although the light partition is about 40% (core) and 60% (fullerenes), the MLCT emission of the central core is dramatically quenched in a steady-state experiment. Analogous patterns are shown by (L2–3)₂Cu, although the light partition at 456 nm is less favorable for the central core because of the above mentioned shielding effect (for (L2)₂Cu ca. 20% of the incident light is able to reach the central core; for (L3)₂Cu this value is ca. 10%). An energy level diagram reporting all the low-lying electronic levels is depicted in Fig. 6. The lowest singlet (¹Gn) and triplet (³Gn) centered on the peripheral fullerene fragments and the lowest MLCT excited state of the Cu(I)-complexed central core (^{MLCT}Cu).

The energy values were obtained from corrected luminescence band maxima at 77 K or, when not available as in the case of ³Gn (phosphorescence from C₆₀ and its derivatives has been rarely reported and only in matrices below 5 K or, at 77 K, in the presence of heavy atom containing solvents), from theoretical calculations. It is noteworthy that a new low-energy electronic level is made available in the supramolecular array, namely the charge separated state Cu⁺–Gn[–] located at 1.68 eV, as deduced from the electrochemical data [73]. In principle, the quenching of the MLCT excited state (positioned at 1.85 eV) can occur via three pathways: (i) energy transfer to the fullerene centered singlet ¹Gn ($\Delta G = -0.10$ eV) [73]; (ii) energy transfer to the fullerene centered triplet ³Gn ($\Delta G = -0.45$ eV); (iii) electron transfer to the charge separated state ($\Delta G = -0.17$ eV). We believe that electron transfer [process (iii)] is not the prevailing phenomenon for at least two reasons: (a) it does not take place from ¹Gn (no

quenching of the fluorescence of the fullerene moiety is observed), although a similar thermodynamic driving force ($\Delta G = -0.07$ eV) would be involved; (b) the quenching of the central core occurs also at 77 K, but electron-transfer in a rigid matrix is usually blocked if not exothermic by at least 0.6–0.7 eV [74,75] (in our case $\Delta G = -0.17$ eV, Fig. 6). Further experiments are still underway in order to have a better insight about this issue.

In conclusion, due to the increasing number of surrounding fullerene subunits in (L1)₂Cu to (L3)₂Cu, there is less and less light available for the core, relative to the periphery, and the small portion of light energy able to excite the central Cu(I) complex is returned to the external fullerenes by energy transfer. Therefore, one can conclude that the Cu(I) central core is buried in a kind of dendritic black box.

4. A fullerene–oligophenylenevinylene derivative

Photovoltaic devices using thin films of interpenetrating bicontinuous networks of C₆₀ itself or a C₆₀ derivative and a number of conjugated polymers such as polyphenylenevinylene or polythiophene have been demonstrated to show promise for large-area photodetectors and solar cells [76–82]. In this class of devices the network provides the spatially distributed interfaces necessary for efficient exciton dissociation into electrons and holes, and pathways for their subsequent collection at opposite electrodes. Therefore the film morphology is of crucial importance for the device performance. Usually the donor and acceptor molecules are incompatible and tend to strong and uncontrolled phase separation. An alternative approach to create the bicontinuous network is based on chemically connected donor–acceptor molecules [83]. In such a way, any problems arising from bad contacts at the junction are avoided. In this context, we describe the preparation of the fullerene–oligophenylenevinylene hybrid **16** and its utilization for the construction of a solar energy conversion system. The synthesis of compound **16** is depicted in Fig. 7.

Benzaldimine **17** was subjected to the Siegrist reaction [84] with stilbene **18** to give protected trimer **19** in 74% yield. Treatment of **19** with CF₃CO₂H in CH₂Cl₂/H₂O (1/1) afforded aldehyde **20** in 96% yield. The functionalization of C₆₀ was based on the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition [85] of the azomethine ylide generated in situ from **20**. The reaction of C₆₀ with **20** in the presence of an excess of *N*-methylglycine (sarcosine) in refluxing toluene afforded fulleropyrrolidine **16** in 43% yield (or 62% based on the non-recovered C₆₀). Model compound **21** was prepared in a similar manner from aldehyde **22** by reaction with C₆₀ and sarcosine in refluxing toluene (Fig. 8).

The UV-vis spectrum of **16** corresponds to the sum of the spectra of its two components and shows the characteristic absorptions of a fulleropyrrolidine derivative at






Fig. 6. Energy level diagram describing the excited state deactivation pathways and the intercomponent energy transfer processes in the dendrimers.

Fig. 7. Preparation of compound **16**.Fig. 8. Preparation of model compound **21**.

254, 430, and 702 nm as well as the diagnostic oligophenylenevinylene (OPV) band at 363 nm. Thus indicating that there are no significant interactions between the two chromophores in the ground state. Preliminary luminescence measurements show a strong quenching of the OPV fluorescence in **16**. Charge transfer is expected to occur and compound **16** appears as a potential candidate for the preparation of a photovoltaic cell. The electrochemical properties of **16**, **19** and **21** were investigated by

CV. All the experiments were performed at room temperature in *o*-dichlorobenzene solutions, containing tetra-*n*-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (0.05 M) as supporting electrolyte, with glassy carbon as the working electrode, a Pt wire counter electrode and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. Potential data are collected in Table 3.

The fullerene–OPV hybrid compound **16** shows three reversible reduction peaks in the cathodic direction and a chemically irreversible oxidation peak in the anodic part. As shown by the comparison with model compounds **19** and **21**, the OPV and fulleropyrrolidine oxidation waves could not be clearly distinguished because both constituents are oxidized at similar potentials. For the reductions, both C_{60} derivatives **16** and **21** essentially retain the electronic properties of C_{60} and, as typically observed for most C_{60} derivatives [86,87], the observed reduction potentials of both **16** and **21** are shifted to more negative values when compared to those of unsubstituted C_{60} . The

Table 3

Redox characteristics of C_{60} , **16**, **19** and **21** observed by CV on a glassy C electrode in *o*-dichlorobenzene + 0.05 M Bu_4NPF_6 ^a

Compound	Reduction			Oxidation	
	E_1	E_2	E_3	E_1	E_2
C_{60}	−0.552	−0.928	−1.363		
16	−0.654	−1.019	−1.534	+1.354 ^b	%
19				+1.305 ^b	+1.520 ^b
21	−0.643	−1.009	−1.520	+1.389 ^b	+1.550 ^b

^a Values for $(E_{pa} + E_{pc})/2$ [V vs. Ag/AgCl] at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{−1}.

^b Peak potential values at a scan rate of 0.1 V s^{−1}, irreversible process.




Fig. 9. Structure of the photovoltaic cell and current–voltage characteristics of the device in the dark (○) and under 5 mW cm^{-2} illumination (400 nm) (●).

comparison of the $E_{1/2}$ potentials of **16** and **21** shows that the reduction potentials of **21** are all slightly shifted to more negative values by 10–14 mV. This shift could result from the interactions between the donating OPV moiety and the accepting C_{60} spheroid. This is actually in good agreement with the results recently reported for ferrocenyl fulleropyrrolidines [88] and for dimethylaniline-substituted dithienylethyl fulleropyrrolidines [89].

The C_{60} –OPV hybrid compound has been used for the construction of a solar energy conversion system and the device structure is schematically depicted in Fig. 9. Thin films of the C_{60} –OPV derivative **16** were spin cast, on a glass substrate coated with indium-tin-oxide (ITO), from weight 4% chloroform solution. The Al electrode was vacuum evaporated on the films to a thickness of 100 nm. Typical I – V curves (using ITO as positively and Al as negatively biased electrodes) measured under dark and under light (400 nm, 5 mW/cm^2) are presented in Fig. 9.

Under the light the device shows clear photovoltaic behavior with open-circuit voltage of around 0.2 V and short-circuit current density $10 \text{ } \mu\text{A/cm}^2$ corresponding to collecting efficiency of 1%. Although we do not know the exact reason of the high dark current under reverse bias, we believe it causes relatively low collection efficiency and open-circuit voltage.

5. Conclusions

Our recent progress in the chemistry of C_{60} allowed us to prepare several fullerene derivatives covalently bound to other chromophores. These systems provided entry into

intramolecular processes such as electron and energy transfer. The synthesis of C_{60} polyadducts with well defined three-dimensional structure provides versatile building blocks for the preparation of porphyrin–fullerene derivatives with unusual stereochemical and electronic properties as well as for the synthesis of phenanthroline ligands substituted with fullerene-functionalized dendritic wedges and their copper(I) complexes. As well as the undeniable aesthetic appeal of all these exotic compounds, we have shown that the combination of C_{60} with other photo- and electro-active components is able to perturb the ground-state and excited-state properties of the individual species, giving rise to novel properties. Finally, a fullerene–OPV hybrid compound has been synthesised in order to provide a molecular approach to photovoltaic conversion, and to prevent the morphology problems resulting from the phase separation in donor–acceptor composites. The light-collecting and energy-conversion efficiencies of the molecular photovoltaic system is not yet optimized, and further improvements could be expected by utilization of new fullerene derivatives with a strong absorption in the visible range and able to achieve very fast charge separation and slow charge recombination.

Acknowledgements

We thank the CNRS and the CNR for financial support and Hoechst AG for samples of C_{60} . We also thank L. Oswald and C. Schall for technical assistance, C. Bourgogne for his help with the molecular modeling, and Dr. D. Guillon for his interest in our work and his support.

References

- [1] Diederich F, Thilgen C. *Science* 1996;271:317.
- [2] Prato M. *J Mater Chem* 1997;7:1097.
- [3] Diederich F, Gomez-Lopez M. *Chimia* 1998;52:551.
- [4] Hirsch A. *The chemistry of the fullerenes*, Stuttgart: Thieme, 1994.
- [5] Imahori H, Sakata Y. *Adv Mater* 1997;9:537.
- [6] Martin N, Sanchez L, Illescas B, Perez I. *Chem Rev* 1998;98:2527.
- [7] Khan SI, Oliver AM, Paddon-Row MN, Rubin Y. *J Am Chem Soc* 1993;115:4919.
- [8] Linssen TG, Dürr K, Hanack M, Hirsch A. *Chem Commun* 1995:103.
- [9] Diederich F, Dietrich-Buchecker CO, Nierengarten J-F, Sauvage J-P. *Chem Commun* 1995:781.
- [10] Williams RM, Zwier JM, Verhoeven JW. *J Am Chem Soc* 1995;117:4093.
- [11] Maggini M, Donò A, Scorrano G, Prato M. *Chem Commun* 1995:845.
- [12] Lawson JM, Oliver AM, Rothenfluh DF, An Y-Z, Ellis GA, Ranasinghe MG, Khan SI, Franz AG, Ganapathi PS, Shephard MJ, Paddon-Row MN, Rubin Y. *J Org Chem* 1996;61:5032.
- [13] Armspach D, Constable EC, Diederich F, Housecroft CE, Nierengarten J-F. *Chem Commun* 1996:2009.
- [14] Dürr K, Fielder S, Linßen T, Hirsch A, Hanack M. *Chem Ber* 1997;130:1375.
- [15] Guldi DM, Maggini M, Scorrano G, Prato M. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:974.
- [16] Ashton PR, Diederich F, Gómez-López M, Nierengarten J-F, Preece JA, Raymo FM, Stoddart JF. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1997;36:1448.
- [17] Armspach D, Constable EC, Diederich F, Housecroft CE, Nierengarten J-F. *Chem Eur J* 1998;4:723.
- [18] Diekers M, Hirsch A, Pyo S, Rivera J, Echegoyen L. *Eur J Org Chem* 1998:1111.
- [19] Armaroli N, Diederich F, Dietrich-Buchecker CO, Flamigni L, Marconi G, Nierengarten J-F, Sauvage J-P. *Chem Eur J* 1998;4:406.
- [20] Maggini M, Guldi DM, Mondini S, Scorrano G, Paolucci F, Ceroni P, Roffia S, Llacay J, Veciana J, Vidal-Gancedo J, Bourdelande JL, Gonzalez-Moreno R, Rovira C. *J Org Chem* 1998;63:5201.
- [21] Simonsen KB, Konovalov VV, Konovalova TA, Kawai T, Cava MP, Kispert LD, Metzger RM, Becher J. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2* 1999:657.
- [22] Segura JL, Martin N. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1999;40:3239.
- [23] Liddell PA, Sumida JP, Macpherson AN, Noss L, Seely GR, Clark KN, Moore AL, Moore TA, Gust D. *Photochem Photobiol* 1994;60:537.
- [24] Imahori H, Hagiwara K, Akiyama T, Taniguchi S, Okada T, Sakata Y. *Chem Lett* 1995:265.
- [25] Drovetskaya T, Reed CA, Boyd P. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1995;36:7971.
- [26] Imahori H, Hagiwara K, Aoki M, Akiyama T, Taniguchi S, Okada T, Shirakawa M, Sakata Y. *Chem Phys Lett* 1996;263:545.
- [27] Imahori H, Sakata Y. *Chem Lett* 1996:199.
- [28] Imahori H, Hagiwara K, Aoki M, Akiyama T, Taniguchi S, Okada T, Shirakawa M, Sakata Y. *J Am Chem Soc* 1996;118:11771.
- [29] Kuciauskas D, Lin S, Seely GR, Moore AL, Moore TA, Gust D, Drovetskaya T, Reed CA, Boyd P. *J Phys Chem* 1996;100:15926.
- [30] Ranasinghe MG, Olivier AM, Rothenfluh DF, Salek A, Paddon-Row MN. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1996;37:4797.
- [31] Imahori H, Yamada K, Hasegawa M, Taniguchi S, Okada T, Sakata Y. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1997;36:2626.
- [32] Safanov IG, Baran PS, Schuster DI. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1997;38:8133.
- [33] Sun Y, Drovetskaya T, Bolskar RD, Bau R, Boyd PDW, Reed CA. *J Org Chem* 1997;62:3642.
- [34] Liddell PA, Kuciauskas D, Sumida JP, Nash B, Nguyen D, Moore AL, Moore TA, Gust D. *J Am Chem Soc* 1997;119:1400.
- [35] Nierengarten J-F, Oswald L, Nicoud J-F. *Chem Commun* 1998:1545.
- [36] Kuciauskas D, Liddell PA, Moore AL, Moore T, Gust D. *J Am Chem Soc* 1998;120:10880.
- [37] Nierengarten J-F, Schall C, Nicoud J-F. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1998;37:1934.
- [38] Bourgeois J-P, Diederich F, Echegoyen L, Nierengarten J-F. *Helv Chim Acta* 1998;81:1835.
- [39] Carbonera D, Di Valentin M, Corvaya C, Agostini G, Giacometti G, Liddell PA, Kuciauskas D, Moore AL, Moore TA, Gust D. *J Am Chem Soc* 1998;120:4398.
- [40] Higashida S, Imahori H, Kaneda T, Sakata Y. *Chem Lett* 1998:605.
- [41] Dietel E, Hirsch A, Zhou J, Rieker A. *J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 2* 1998:1357.
- [42] Dietel E, Hirsch A, Eichhorn E, Rieker A, Hackbarth S, Röder B. *Chem Commun* 1998:1981.
- [43] Tamaki K, Imahori H, Nishimura Y, Yamazaki I, Sakata Y. *Chem Commun* 1999:625.
- [44] Jolliffe KA, Langford SJ, Ranasinghe MG, Shephard MJ, Paddon-Row MN. *J Org Chem* 1999;64:1238.
- [45] Young R, Chang CK. *J Am Chem Soc* 1985;107:898.
- [46] Nierengarten J-F, Nicoud J-F. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1997;38:7737.
- [47] Nierengarten J-F, Gramlich V, Cardullo F, Diederich F. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1996;35:2101.
- [48] Nierengarten J-F, Herrmann A, Tykwinski RR, Rüttimann M, Diederich F, Boudon C, Gisselbrecht J-P, Gross M. *Helv Chim Acta* 1997;80:293.
- [49] Nierengarten J-F, Habicher T, Kessinger R, Cardullo F, Diederich F, Gramlich V, Gisselbrecht J-P, Boudon C, Gross M. *Helv Chim Acta* 1997;80:2238.
- [50] Bingel C. *Chem Ber* 1993;126:1957.
- [51] Lindsey JS, Hsu HC, Schreiman IC. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1986;27:4969.
- [52] Lindsey JS, Schreiman IC, Hsu HC, Kearney PC, Margueretaz AM. *J Org Chem* 1987;52:827.
- [53] Wagner RW, Lawrence DS, Lindsey JS. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1987;28:3069.
- [54] Lindsey JS, Wagner RW. *J Org Chem* 1989;54:828–36.
- [55] Eliel EL, Wilen SH, Mander LN. *Stereochemistry of organic compounds*, New York: Wiley, 1994.
- [56] Darling SL, Goh PKY, Bamos N, Feeder N, Montalti M, Prodi L, Johnson BFG, Sanders JKM. *Chem Commun* 1998:2031, shows a similar isomerism.
- [57] Collin J-P, Harriman A, Heitz V, Odobel F, Sauvage J-P. *J Am Chem Soc* 1994;116:5679.

- [58] Chardon-Noblat S, Sauvage J-P, Mathis P. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1989;28:593.
- [59] Newkome GR, Moorefield CN, Vögtle F. *Dendritic molecules: concepts, syntheses, perspectives*, Weinheim: VCH, 1996.
- [60] Zeng F, Zimmerman SC. *Chem Rev* 1997;97:1681.
- [61] Smith DK, Diederich F. *Chem Eur J* 1998;4:1353.
- [62] Archut A, Vögtle F. *Chem Soc Rev* 1998;27:233.
- [63] Roy R, Zanini D, Meunier SJ, Romanowska A. *Chem Commun* 1993:1869.
- [64] Aoi K, Itoh K, Okada M. *Macromolecules* 1995;28:5391.
- [65] Balzani V, Campagna S, Denti G, Juris A, Serroni S, Venturi M. *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31:26.
- [66] Gilat SL, Adronov A, Fréchet JMJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 1999;38:1422.
- [67] Nierengarten J-F, Felder D, Nicoud J-F. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1999;40:269.
- [68] Nierengarten J-F, Felder D, Nicoud J-F. *Tetrahedron Lett* 1999;40:273.
- [69] Nierengarten J-F, Dietrich-Buchecker CO, Sauvage J-P. *New J Chem* 1996;20:685.
- [70] Alonso-Vante N, Ern V, Chartier P, Dietrich-Buchecker CO, McMillin DR, Marnot PA, Sauvage J-P. *Nouv J Chim* 1983;7:3.
- [71] Gushurst AKI, McMillin DR, Dietrich-Buchecker CO, Sauvage J-P. *Inorg Chem* 1989;28:4070.
- [72] Dietrich-Buchecker CO, Marnot PA, Sauvage J-P, Kintzinger J-P, Maltese P. *Nouv J Chim* 1984;8:573.
- [73] Balzani V, Scandola F. In: *Supramolecular photochemistry*, Chichester: Ellis Horwood, 1991, p. 44, On how to calculate the thermodynamic driving force for electronic excited states via spectroscopic and/or electrochemical parameters.
- [74] Gaines III GL, O'Neil MP, Svec WA, Niemeczyk MP, Wasielewski MR. *J Am Chem Soc* 1991;113:719.
- [75] Scandola F, Argazzi R, Bignozzi CA, Indelli MT. *J Photochem Photobiol A* 1994;82:191.
- [76] Sariciftci NS, Smilowitz L, Heeger AJ, Wudl F. *Science* 1992;258:1474.
- [77] Sariciftci NS, Braun D, Zhang C, Srdanov V, Heeger AJ, Stucky G, Wudl F. *Appl Phys Lett* 1993;62:585.
- [78] Janssen RAJ, Christiaans MPT, Pakbaz K, Moses D, Hummelen JC, Sariciftci NS. *J Chem Phys* 1995;102:2628.
- [79] Jansen RAJ, Hummelen JC, Lee K, Pakbaz K, Sariciftci NS, Heeger AJ, Wudl F. *J Chem Phys* 1995;103:788.
- [80] Yu G, Gao J, Hummelen JC, Wudl F, Heeger AJ. *Science* 1995;270:1789.
- [81] Kraabel B, Hummelen JC, Vacar D, Moses D, Sariciftci NS, Heeger AJ, Wudl F. *J Chem Phys* 1996;104:4267.
- [82] Roman LS, Andersson MR, Yohannes T, Inganäs O. *Adv Mater* 1997;9:1164.
- [83] Nierengarten J-F, Eckert J-F, Nicoud J-F, Ouali L, Krasnikov V, Hadziioannou G. *Chem Commun* 1999:617.
- [84] Zerban G, Meier H. *Z Naturforsch* 1993;48b:171.
- [85] Prato M, Maggini M. *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31:519.
- [86] Echegoyen L, Echegoyen LE. *Acc Chem Res* 1998;31:593.
- [87] Boudon C, Gisselbrecht J-P, Gross M, Cardullo F, Seiler P, Isaacs L, Nierengarten J-F, Haldimann RF, Diederich F, Mordasini-Denti T, Thiel W. *Can J Chem Eng* 1998;76:1008.
- [88] Maggini M, Karlsson A, Scorrano G, Sandona G, Farnia G, Prato M. *Chem Commun* 1997:1097.
- [89] Liu S-G, Shu L, Rivera J, Liu H, Raimundo J-M, Roncali J, Gorgues A, Echegoyen L. *J Org Chem* 1999;64:4884.